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Learning Objectives

- Place our role as evaluators in context
- Provide an overview of development, diagnosis and eligibility
- Review prevalence of comorbidity
- Provide a framework for comprehensive assessment
- Discuss critical variables influencing assessment
- Review tools and methods
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I Had a Revelation in St. Augustine

The world operates along a normal curve!

Not surprisingly all but two things we do as psychologists are dimensional!

- Diagnosis
- Eligibility Determination
How Shall We Understand, Define and Categorize Mental Illness and Developmental Problems?

- By etiology or cause?
- By emotions, abilities, behaviors and thoughts?
- By impaired function in activities of life?
Diagnosis

Medicine/Medical.

The process of determining by examination the nature and circumstances of a diseased condition.

The decision reached from such an examination.

Eligible

adj. Having the right to do or obtain something; satisfying the appropriate conditions.

“Customers who are eligible for discounts”

Synonyms: entitled, permitted, allowed, qualified, admissible

“These people are eligible to vote”

Hyponyms: desirable or suitable as a partner in marriage.

“The world’s most eligible bachelor”

Synonyms: desirable, suitable

Determining eligibility is an outcome best understood and obtained by a thorough assessment.

How distinct are these disorders from each other?

Much less so than makes me comfortable!
Co-Occurrence/Comorbidity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dx</th>
<th>ASD</th>
<th>ODD</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>Anx</th>
<th>Dep</th>
<th>LD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>4% to 37%</td>
<td>1% to 10%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>1.4% to 38%</td>
<td>70%+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODD</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>55%+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comorbidity is the RULE not the Exception

What is the Goal of a Comprehensive Evaluation?

- Identify and define symptoms?
- Identify and define strengths and weaknesses?
- Appreciate the relationship of a set of symptoms to a unitary condition?
- Define limits of functional impairment to set a baseline for intervention?
Components of a Thorough Assessment

- History
- Broad Spectrum Questionnaires (Parent and Teacher)
- Impairment. Risk. Executive Functioning
- Narrow Spectrum Questionnaires (Parent and Teacher)
- Self report Questionnaires
- Ability Assessment
- Achievement Assessment
- Interview with student

General Guidelines for a Comprehensive Evaluation

- A distinction should be made between acute vs. chronic problems.
- Person and environment protective factors need to be understood.
- Assessment should be strength and risk focused.
- Test results should be presented in ways that are useful to consumers (e.g. family, school, etc.).
- The least amount of assessment needed to answer referral questions should be completed.

Person Attributes Associated With Successful Coping*

- Affectionate, engaging temperament.
- Sociable.
- Autonomous.
- Above average IQ.
- Good reading skills.
- High achievement motivation.
- Positive self-concept.
- Impulse control.
- Internal locus of control.
- Planning skills.
- Faith.
- Humorous.
- Helpfulness.

*Replicated in 2 or more studies.
Environmental Factors Associated With Successful Coping*

- Smaller family size.
- Maternal competence and mental health.
- Close bond with primary caregiver.
- Supportive siblings.
- Extended family involvement.
- Living above the poverty level.
- Friendships.
- Supportive teachers.
- Successful school experiences.
- Involvement in pro-social organizations.

*Replicated in 2 or more studies.

The pathways that lead to positive adaptation despite high risk and adversity are complex and greatly influenced by context therefore it is not likely that we will discover a magic (generic) bullet.

Special Education Legislative History

- 1975 — The Education for All Handicapped Children Act (EAHCA) became law. It was renamed the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) in 1990.
- 1990 — IDEA first came into being on October 30, 1990 when the Education of All Handicapped Children Act (EAHCA), itself having been introduced in 1975, was renamed the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). (Pub. L. No. 101-476, 104 Stat. 1142).
- 1997 — IDEA received significant amendments. The definition of disabled children expanded to include developmentally delayed children between three and nine years of age. It also required states to adopt new procedures for resolving disputes with schools and local Educational Agencies (LEAs) through mediation, and provided a process for doing so. The amendments authorized additional grants for technology, disabled infants and toddlers, parent training, and professional development. (Pub. L. No. 105-17, 111 Stat. 37).
Special Education Legislative History

- **2004**—On December 3, 2004, IDEA was amended by the Individuals With Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004, now known as IDEIA. Several provisions aligned IDEA with the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, signed by President George W. Bush. It authorized fifteen states to implement 3-year IEPs on a trial basis when parents continually agree. Drawing on the report of the President’s Commission on Excellence in Special Education, the law revised the requirements for evaluating children with learning disabilities. More concrete provisions relating to discipline of special education students was also added. (Pub. L. No. 108-446, 118 Stat. 2647).

- **2009**—Following a campaign promise for “funding the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act,” President Barack Obama signed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) on February 17, 2009, including $12.2 billion in additional funds.

- **2009**—Americans with Disabilities Amendments Act was signed into law in September 2008 and became effective on January 1, 2009.

IDEA

Children are placed in special education services through an evaluation process. If the evaluation is not appropriately conducted, or does not monitor the information that is needed to determine placement it is not appropriate.

The goal of IDEA’s regulations for evaluation is to help minimize the number of misidentifications, to provide a variety of assessment tools and strategies, to prohibit the use of any single evaluation as the sole criterion of which a student is placed in special education services, and to provide protections against evaluation measures that are racially or culturally discriminatory.

Overall, the goal of appropriate evaluation is to get students who need help, extra help that is appropriate for the student and helps that specific student to reach his or her goals set by the IEP team.

California

§ 2300. Eligibility Criteria.

5 CA AD 6 § 3908 BARCLAYS OFFICIAL CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS

Title 5, Education

Chapter 3, Individuals with Exceptional Needs

Subchapter 1, Special Education

Article 3.1, Individuals with Exceptional Needs

[1] Multiple disabilities means concomitant impairments, such as intellectual disability, blindness or intellectual disability-provoked impairment, the combination of which causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated in special education programs safely for one of the impairments. “Multiple disabilities” does not include deaf-blindness.

[2] Intellectual disability means significantly subaverage general intellectual functioning, existing concurrently with defects in adaptive behavior, and manifested during the developmental period that adversely affects a child’s educational performance.
Colorado

A child with Multiple Disabilities shall have two or more areas of significant impairment, one of which shall be an intellectual disability. The other areas of impairment include: Orthopedic Impairment; Visual Impairment, Including Blindness; Hearing Impairment, Including Deafness; Speech or Language Impairment; Serious Emotional Disability; Autism Spectrum Disorders; Traumatic Brain Injury; or Other Health Impaired. The combination of such impairments creates a unique condition that is evidenced through a multiplicity of severe educational needs which prevent the child from receiving reasonable educational benefit from general education.

New Jersey

Multiply disabled" corresponds to “multiply handicapped” and “multiple disabilities,” and means the presence of two or more disabling conditions, the combination of which causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated in a program designed solely to address one of the impairments. Multiple disabilities includes cognitively impaired-blindness, cognitively impaired-orthopedic impairment, etc. The existence of two disabling conditions alone shall not serve as a basis for a classification of multiply disabled. Eligibility for speech-language services as defined in this section shall not be one of the disabling conditions for classification based on the definition of "multiply disabled." Multiply disabled does not include deaf-blindness.

Maryland

"Multiple disabilities" means concomitant impairments, such as intellectual disability-blindness or intellectual disability-orthopedic impairment, the combination of which causes such severe educational problems that the student cannot be accommodated in special education programs solely for one of the impairments. "Multiple disabilities" does not include students with deaf-blindness.
Oregon

“Children with disabilities” or “students with disabilities” means children or students who require special education because of: autism; communication disorders; deafblindness; emotional disturbances; hearing impairments, including deafness; intellectual disability; orthopedic impairments; other health impairments; specific learning disabilities; traumatic brain injuries; or visual impairments, including blindness.

Determining eligibility is an outcome best understood and obtained by a thorough assessment.

Well Defined Guidelines
Nevada
Critical Issues

- Demographics
- Symptoms vs. consequences
- Categories vs. dimensions
- Eligibility vs. diagnosis
- Developmental pathways: accept a moment in time
- There are no shortcuts
- Assess the environment

Critical Issues

- Assess for intervention
- Understand positive and negative predictive power
- Understand sensitivity vs. specificity
- Begin with the disruptive/non-disruptive continuum
- Keep low incidence problems in mind
- Consider resilience (protective) factors
- Measure impairment

Ability, Knowledge and Skill
Components of a Thorough Assessment

Step 1: History
Step 2: Assess Impairment (RSI), EF (CEFI) and Risk (RISE)
Step 3: Broad Spectrum: Conners CBRS or Conners EC
Step 4: Decide on Narrow Spectrum Questionnaires:
  - Disruptive Problems: Conners 3
  - Non-Disruptive:
    - ASRS
    - MASC 2
    - CDI 2
    - CAS Teacher Questionnaire
Step 5: Achievement & Ability Testing
Step 6: Resilience
Step 7: Personality

Step 1: Obtain a Thorough History
- Immediate and extended family risks.
- Pregnancy and delivery
- Infancy and toddlerhood (temperament)
- Preschool and school history
- Socialization
- Family relations
- Sleep, appetite and hygiene
- Past treatments or educational services
- Discipline
- Situational problems

Step 2: Evaluate Impairment, Risk, Strengths & Executive Function
Why is the assessment of impairment critical to a comprehensive evaluation?

An exhaustive review of the literature demonstrates that the relationship between symptoms and functioning remains unexpectedly weak and often bidirectional (McKnight and Kashdan, 2009).

Need

- There is a clear need to measure “impairment” when using the IDEIA, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of the American Psychiatric Association (DSM) or the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) as a guide to eligibility determination and/or diagnosis.
Impairment is the reduced ability to meet the demands of life because of a psychological, physical, or cognitive condition.

Symptoms vs. Impairment

Symptoms are physical, cognitive, or behavioral manifestations of a disorder. Impairments are the functional consequences of these symptoms.
How does impairment differ from adaptive behavior?

**IMPAIRMENT VS. ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR**

A skill deficit occurs when a person does not know how to perform an everyday task, whereas a deficit in performance occurs when an individual has acquired a skill, yet does not seem to use it when needed.

(Ditterline & Oakland, 2009)

**IMPAIRMENT VS. ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR**

Thus, while measures of adaptive behavior emphasize the presence of adaptive skills in daily functioning, measures of functional impairment tend to emphasize the outcome of a behavior or the performance of an individual rather than the presence or absence of the skill.

Ditterline & Oakland (2009);
Dumas et al. (2010);
Gleason & Coster (2012)
Adaptive Behavior vs. Impairment

Skill vs. Performance

Do you know HOW to do it?

Do you ACTUALLY do it?

Adaptive Behavior vs. Impairment

Using utensils vs. Not using utensils to eat

Symptoms vs. Impairment

Impairment can exist absent of formal diagnosis. (Balazs et al., 2013; Wille et al., 2008)

In one study 14.2% of a sample of children were significantly impaired without a formal diagnosis. (Angold et al., 1999)
Rating Scale of Impairment (RSI) Forms

RSI (5-12 Years)
- Parent Form
- Teacher Form

RSI (13-18 Years)
- Parent Form
- Teacher Form

Total Score

RSI Scales
- School
- Social
- Mobility
- Domestic
- Family

Relationship Between the RSI and Other Measures

Executive Function
Executive function is how efficiently you do what you decide to do.

Why Does Executive Function Matter?

**EF** is essential for success in daily living including:

- **Academic & occupational functioning**
  - For more information see: Best et al., 2009, Miller et al., 2012, Valiente et al., 2013

- **Interpersonal problems**
  - For more information see: Sprague et al., 2011, De Panfilis et al., 2013

- **Physical health**
  - For more information see: Hall et al., 2006, Falkowski et al., 2014

- **Mental health**
  - For more information see: Willcutt et al., 2005, Bora et al., 2009, Mesholam-Garzot et al., 2009, Snyder, 2013

Comprehensive Executive Function Inventory (CEFI)

- A comprehensive behavior rating scale of executive function strengths and weaknesses in children and youth aged 5 to 18 years.

- Executive function is important for problem solving and reasoning, and difficulties with executive function can often make simple tasks challenging.
Group Differences: ADHD (Naglieri & Goldstein, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ADHD</th>
<th>General Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group Differences: ASD (Naglieri & Goldstein, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ASD</th>
<th>General Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.10 Differences Between ADHD and Matched General Population Samples: CEFI Full Scale

Table 8.20 Differences Between ASD and Matched General Population Samples: CEFI Full Scale
Group Differences: Learning Disabilities
(Naglieri & Goldstein, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Self-Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group Differences: Mood Disorders
(Naglieri & Goldstein, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Self-Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group Differences (Naglieri & Goldstein, 2013)
Assessment of Risks and Strengths
Risk Inventory and Strengths Evaluation (RISE)

- Protective Behaviors
  - Emotional Balance
  - Interpersonal Skill
  - Self Confidence

- Risky Behaviors
  - Bullying
  - Delinquency
  - Health
  - Sexual
  - Substance Abuse
  - Suicide

RISE Overview
- The first tool to look at these concepts within the context of each other
- Ages 9 through 25 years; Parent, Teacher and Self Forms
- 15-20 minutes administration time
- Norm-referenced T-scores examine broad constructs of risk and strength
- Response validity scores also available
- For educational psychologists, counselors, clinical psychologists and other mental-health professionals working with children, adolescents and young adults (Level C)
Step 3: Broad Spectrum Measure

Conners Early Childhood (Conners EC)  
2 to 6 years

Conners Comprehensive Behaviour Rating Scales (Conners CBRS)  
6 to 18 years

Conners EC

• Innovative psychological instrument to assess the concerns of parents, teachers, and childcare providers about preschool-aged children.
• Aids in the early identification of behavioral, social, and emotional problems.
• Assists in measuring whether or not a child is appropriately meeting major developmental milestones (Adaptive Skills, Communication, Motor Skills, Play, and Pre-Academic/Cognitive).
Conners CBRS

- Comprehensive assessment tool for behavioral, emotional, social, and academic concerns and disorders.
- Common and rare but critical issues.

C. Keith Conners, PhD
Other Clinical Indicators

- Bullying Perpetration
- Bullying Victimization
- Enuresis/Encopresis\(^1\)
- Panic Attack
- Pervasive Developmental Disorder\(^4\)
- Pica\(^2\)
- Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
- Specific Phobia
- Tics
- Trichotillomania

---

Step 4: Decide on Narrow Spectrum Questionnaires

**Disruptive Problems:**

- Conners 3

**Non-Disruptive:**

- ASRS
- MASC 2
- CDI 2
- CAS Teacher Questionnaire

---
Conners 3rd Edition (Conners 3)
C. Keith Conners, PhD
A thorough and focused assessment of ADHD and its most common co-morbid problems and disorders in children and adolescents ages 6 to 18 years.

Non-Disruptive Problems
Autism Spectrum Rating Scales

- Multi-informant measure designed to identify symptoms, behaviors, and associated features of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) in children and adolescents aged 2 to 18 years.
ASRS Validity: Ages 6-18 Parents

Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children 2nd Edition (MASC 2)

- Comprehensive multi-rater assessment of anxiety dimensions in children and adolescents aged 8 to 19 years.
- Distinguishes between important anxiety symptoms and dimensions that broadband measures do not capture.

Anxiety
Children's Depression Inventory 2™ (CDI 2)

- Comprehensive multi-rater assessment of depressive symptoms in children and adolescents from ages 7 to 17, which offers the flexibility of application in either clinical or educational settings.

Scale Structure: Parent and Teacher

- Total Score
  - Parent: 17 items
  - Teacher: 12 items

- Emotional Problems
  - Parent: 9 items
  - Teacher: 5 items

- Functional Problems
  - Parent: 8 items
  - Teacher: 7 items

4-point Likert-type rating: 0 = "Not at All"; 3 = "Much or Most of the Time"

Scale Structure: Self-Report (Full Length)

- Total Score (all 28 items)

- Emotional Problems (15 items)
  - Negative Mood/Physical Symptoms (9 items)
  - Negative Self-Esteem (6 items)

- Functional Problems (13 items)
  - Interpersonal Problems (5 items)
  - Ineffectiveness (8 items)
CDI-2 Self-Report
Each sentence is given either 0, 1, or 2 points

CDI 2 Profile

Cognitive Assessment System: Rating Scale
(CAS2: Rating Scale)

- Norm referenced measure of behaviors related to cognitive / neuropsychological theory called PASS (Planning, Attention, Simultaneous, and Successive).
- The scores from the CAS2: Rating Scale can be used to:
  - Support a referral, supportive services, or special placements.
  - Supplement a comprehensive evaluation.
  - Compare teachers' ratings with test results.
  - Help plan and design academic interventions.
  - Monitor the effectiveness of interventions.
CAS2: Rating Scale

• To Assess Neurocognitive Abilities
  – PASS Theory
• CAS-2 Rating scale is for teachers only

PASS Theory

PASS theory is a modern way to define ‘ability’ based on measuring neurocognitive abilities

Planning = THINKING ABOUT THINKING
Attention = BEING ALERT
Simultaneous = GETTING THE BIG PICTURE
Successive = FOLLOWING A SEQUENCE
Organizing the Data

- A day in the life
- Ability/Knowledge/Skill
- Take a chronological perspective.
- Risk and Protective factors
- Determining eligibility
- Suggesting possible diagnoses
- Recommending needs
- Considering continuum of services

Multiple Handicap or Primary/Secondary?
Thank You!
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