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Learning Objectives
Preschool Graduation Part I

Preschool Graduation Part II

The Bus Test
I Had a Revelation in St. Augustine

The world operates along a normal curve!

Not surprisingly all but two things we do as psychologists are dimensional!

- Diagnosis
- Eligibility Determination

The Disruptive Continuum of Behavior

Difficult Temper
Attention Deficit
Oppositional Defiance
Conduct Disorder
How Shall We Understand, Define and Categorize Mental Illness and Developmental Problems?

- By etiology or cause?
- By emotions, abilities, behaviors and thoughts?
- By impaired function in activities of life?

Diagnosis

Medicine/Medical.

The process of determining by examination the nature and circumstances of a diseased condition.

The decision reached from such an examination.
Eligible

adjective
having the right to do or obtain something; satisfying the appropriate conditions.

"Customers who are eligible for discounts"
SYNONYMS: entitled, permitted, allowed, qualified, able

"Those people eligible to vote" (of a person) desirable or suitable as a partner in marriage.
SYNONYMS: desirable, suitable

Determining eligibility is an outcome best understood and obtained by a thorough assessment.

How distinct are these disorders from each other?

Much less so than makes me comfortable!
Co-Occurrence/Comorbidity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dx</th>
<th>ASD</th>
<th>ODD</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>Anx</th>
<th>Dep</th>
<th>LD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4% to 37%</td>
<td>1% to 10%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>1.4% to 38%</td>
<td>70%+</td>
<td>70%+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODD</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>55%+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How distinct are these disorders from each other?

Before the modern era of genomic research, family and twin studies demonstrated that all major psychiatric disorders aggregate in families and are heritable. Over the past decade, the success of large-scale genomic studies has confirmed several key principles: (1) psychiatric disorders are highly polygenic, reflecting the contribution of hundreds to thousands of common variants of small effect and rare (often de novo) SNVs and CNVs; (2) genetic influences on psychopathology commonly transcend the diagnostic boundaries of our clinical DSM nosology. At the level of genetic etiology, there are no sharp boundaries between diagnostic categories or between disorder and normal variation.

Comorbidity is the RULE not the Exception

How Shall We Understand, Define and Categorize Mental Illness?

• By etiology or cause?
• By emotions, behaviors and thoughts?
• By impaired function in activities of life?
What is the Goal of a Comprehensive Evaluation?

- Identify and define symptoms?
- Identify and define strengths and weaknesses?
- Appreciate the relationship of a set of symptoms to a unitary condition?
- Define limits of functional impairment to set a baseline for intervention?

Components of a Thorough Assessment

- History
- Broad Spectrum Questionnaires (Parent and Teacher)
- Impairment, Risk, Executive Functioning
- Narrow Spectrum Questionnaires (Parent and Teacher)
- Self report Questionnaires
- Ability Assessment
- Achievement Assessment
- Interview with student

General Guidelines for a Comprehensive Evaluation

- A distinction should be made between acute vs. chronic problems.
- Person and environment protective factors need to be understood.
- Assessment should be strength and risk focused.
- Test results should be presented in ways that are useful to consumers (e.g. family, school, etc.).
- The least amount of assessment needed to answer referral questions should be completed.
Person Attributes Associated With Successful Coping*

- Affectionate, engaging temperament.
- Sociable.
- Autonomous.
- Above average IQ.
- Good reading skills.
- High achievement motivation.
- Positive self-concept.
- Impulse control.
- Internal locus of control.
- Planning skills.
- Faith.
- Humorous.
- Helpfulness.

*Replicated in 2 or more studies.

Environmental Factors Associated With Successful Coping*

- Smaller family size.
- Maternal competence and mental health.
- Extended family involvement.
- Close bond with primary caregiver.
- Supportive siblings.
- Living above the poverty level.
- Friendships.
- Supportive teachers.
- Successful school experiences.
- Involvement in pro-social organizations.

*Replicated in 2 or more studies.

The pathways that lead to positive adaptation despite high risk and adversity are complex and greatly influenced by context therefore it is not likely that we will discover a magic (generic) bullet.
Determining eligibility is an outcome best understood and obtained by a thorough assessment.

Critical Issues in Assessment

- Demographics
- Symptoms vs. consequences
- Categories vs. dimensions
- Eligibility vs. diagnosis
- Developmental pathways: accept a moment in time
- There are no shortcuts
- Assess the environment

Critical Issues in Assessment

- Assess for intervention
- Understand positive and negative predictive power
- Understand sensitivity vs. specificity
- Begin with the disruptive/non-disruptive continuum
- Keep low incidence problems in mind
- Consider resilience (protective) factors
- Measure impairment
How the Brain Works
Ability, Knowledge and Skill

Why is the assessment of impairment critical to a comprehensive evaluation?

An exhaustive review of the literature demonstrates that the relationship between symptoms and functioning remains unexpectedly weak and often bidirectional (McKnight and Kashdan, 2009).
Impairment is the reduced ability to meet the demands of life because of a psychological, physical, or cognitive condition.

SYMPTOMS VS. IMPAIRMENT

Impairment is not the same as symptoms

- Symptoms are physical, cognitive or behavioral manifestations of a disorder.
- Impairments are the functional consequences of these symptoms.

In one study 14.2% of a sample of children were significantly impaired without a formal diagnosis. (Balazs et al., 2013; Wille et al., 2008) (Angold et al., 1999)
Adaptive Behavior vs. Impairment

Skill vs. Performance

Do you know HOW to do it?

Do you ACTUALLY do it?

Adaptive Behavior vs. Impairment

Using utensils vs. Not using utensils to eat

Symptoms vs. Impairment

Inattention vs. Difficulty completing homework
Rating Scale of Impairment (RSI) Forms

RSI (5-12 Years)
Parent Form (53 items)  Teacher Form (19 items)

RSI (13-18 Years)
Parent Form (49 items)  Teacher Form (29 items)

School Scale
Social Scale
Mobility Scale
Family Scale

Relationship Between The RSI And Other Measures

RSI Total Score
Alpha Reliability
Psychopathology
- Conduct Disordered - Conduct Scale
- Internalizing - Internalizing Scale
- Externalizing - Externalizing Scale

Social-Emotional Competence
- Socially oriented - Social Orientation Scale
- Interpersonal Orientation - Interpersonal Orientation Scale
- Self Regulation - Self Regulation Scale

Executive Function
- Working memory - Working Memory Scale
- Self-regulation - Self-regulation Scale

Relationship Between The RSI And Other Impairment Measures

- RSI and the Barkley Functional Impairment Scale (BFIS-CA)
  - Child Sample corrected r = .55 to .67
  - Youth Sample corrected r = .63 to .71
- RSI and the Children’s Global Assessment Scale (CGAS)
  - Corrected r = -.34 to -.51
What do we mean by the term Executive Function(s)?

Executive Function(s)
- In 1966 Alexandr Luria first wrote and defined the concept of Executive Function (EF).
- He credited Bianchi (1895) and Bekhterev (1905) with the initial definition of the process.

What is/are Executive Function(s)?
There is no formal accepted definition of EF:
- We typically find a vague general statement of EF (e.g., goal-directed action, cognitive control, top-down inhibition, effortful processing, etc.).
- Or a listing of the constructs such as:
  - Inhibition,
  - Working Memory,
  - Planning,
  - Problem-Solving,
  - Goal-Directed Activity,
  - Strategy Development and Execution,
  - Emotional Self-Regulation,
  - Self-Motivation.
Does Experience Shape EF?

- The Family Life Project has demonstrated that poverty is associated with elevated cortisol in infancy and early childhood.
- This association is mediated through characteristics of the household.
- Parenting sensitivity mediates the relationship between poverty and stress physiology.
- In combination parenting sensitivity and elevated cortisol mediate the association between poverty and poor EF in children.

What Neural Activities Require EF?

- Those that involve planning or decision making.
- Those that involve error correction or troubleshooting.
- Situations when responses are not well-rehearsed or contain novel sequences of actions.
- Dangerous or technically difficult situations.
- Situations that require the overcoming of a strong habitual response or resisting temptation.
Goldstein, Naglieri, Princiotta, & Otero (2013)

- We found more than 30 definitions of EF(s).
- Executive function(s) has come to be an umbrella term used for many different abilities, including planning, working memory, attention, inhibition, self-monitoring, self-regulation and initiation carried out by pre-frontal areas of the frontal lobes.

What is Executive Function(s)

1. Barkley (2011): “EF is thus a self-directed set of actions” (p. 11).
2. Dawson & Guare (2010): “Executive skills allow us to organize our behavior over time” (p. 1).
3. Delis (2012): “Executive functions reflect the ability to manage and regulate one’s behavior” (p. 1).
5. Gioia, Isquith, Guy, & Kenworthy (2000): “a collection of processes that are responsible for guiding, directing, and managing cognitive, emotional, and behavioral functions” (p. 1).
What is Executive Function(s)

6. Pribram (1973): “executive programmes ...to maintain brain organization” (p. 301).

7. Roberts & Pennington (1996): EF “a collection of related but somewhat distinct abilities such as planning, set maintenance, impulse control, working memory, and attentional control” (p. 105).

What is Executive Function(s)

6. Stuss & Benson (1986): “a variety of different capacities that enable purposeful, goal-directed behavior, including behavioral regulation, working memory, planning and organizational skills, and self-monitoring” (p. 272).

7. Welsh and Pennington (1988): “the ability to maintain an appropriate problem-solving set for attainment of a future goal” (p. 201).

What is Executive Function(s)

10. McCloskey (2006): “a diverse group of highly specific cognitive processes collected together to direct cognition, emotion, and motor activity, including ...the ability to engage in purposeful, organized, strategic, self-regulated, goal directed behavior” (p. 1)

“think of executive functions as a set of independent but coordinated processes rather than a single trait” (p. 2).
What is Executive Function(s)

10. Lezak (1995): “a collection of interrelated cognitive and behavioral skills that are responsible for purposeful, goal-directed activity.”

11. “how and whether a person goes about doing something” (p. 42).

12. Luria (1966): “... ability to correctly evaluate their own behavior and the adequacy of their actions” (p. 227).
And Finally. . . .

A NICHD panel in 1994 identified 33 EFs by consensus!
The Top Six Were:
- Self-regulation
- Sequencing of behavior
- Flexibility
- Response inhibition
- Planning
- Organization of behavior

Three Categories of Theories
- Regulators that control
- Abilities (cognitive processes)
- Behaviors

A similarly named ability and behavior (e.g. planning) may only overlap to a small extent in explaining outcome.
In fact EF ability likely forms the foundation reflected in behavior, achievement, emotional regulation and socialization. The contributed variance likely is impacted by a host of other variables. Ability and knowledge interact with these variables to shape skillful behavior.

Are EF challenges associated with other psychiatric and developmental conditions?

EF and ADHD

EF deficits are not necessarily unique to ADHD. They are neither necessary nor sufficient to make a diagnosis of ADHD. When EF impairments are measured in children with ADHD they tend to reflect specific rather than global impairments.
EF and Other Disruptive Disorders (ODD & CD)

Early reviews reported that EF deficits were not characteristic of children and adolescents with ODD and CD after co-morbid ADHD was factored out. More recent studies, however, suggest that inhibition deficits may be characteristic of both ADHD and CD but whether children with CD display impairments on additional EF measures is equivocal.

EF and Tourette’s

Distinct and robust impairments in EF do not appear to be characteristic of children with TD.

EF and Anxiety Disorders

EF deficits in set-shifting, cognitive flexibility, concept formation, interference control, and verbal fluency have been documented among children with separation anxiety disorder, overanxious disorder, and PTSD. EF in OCD has not been well addressed.
EF and Depression

Scant research has been conducted on the EF abilities among youth with depression. Studies that have included older adolescents have suggested some degree of sensitivity of EF tasks in identifying unipolar depression, but less specificity.

EF and Bi-Polar Disorder

There is a growing consensus about the nature of BD among children. Several studies have targeted its EF concomitants. Although results often have been confounded with significant co-morbidity issues, children and adolescents with BD reliably have demonstrated impairments relative to those without any history of mood disorders on several EF measures (e.g. working memory, set shifting).

EF and Traumatic Brain Injury

There is a growing consensus about the nature of BD among children. Several studies have targeted its EF concomitants. Although results often have been confounded with significant co-morbidity issues, children and adolescents with BD reliably have demonstrated impairments relative to those without any history of mood disorders on several EF measures (e.g. working memory, set shifting).
If all of these conditions are statistically related to behaviors and abilities reflecting EF than a common denominator must exist.
Impairment in behaviors associated with EF can have multiple etiologies often operating simultaneously.

Impaired Behavior Associated With Poor EF Can Result From:
• Lack of ability.
• Lack of knowledge.
• Lack of motivation.
• Internalizing symptoms.
• Externalizing symptoms.
• Poor impulse control.

Starting with an assessment of EF behaviors defines the real life landscape and can be used as a foundation to than explore etiologies.
Executive Function(s)

- One way to examine this issue is to research the factor structure of behaviors related to EF(s).
- To do so, we examined the factor structure of the Comprehensive Executive Function Inventory (CEFI).
- We conducted a series of research studies to answer the following question:
  - What is the underlying structure of the behaviors assessed on the CEFI?
  - Is there is just one underlying factor called executive function, or do the behaviors group together into different constructs suggesting a multidimensional structure?

CEFI Scales

Each form yields a Full Scale score and 9 separate content scales which contain items as follows:

- Attention
- Emotion Regulation
- Flexibility
- Inhibitory Control
- Initiation
- Organization
- Planning
- Self-Monitoring
- Working Memory

Group Differences: ADHD

![Graph showing group differences in ADHD and control groups for different scales of CEFI Full Scale scores](image)
Group Differences: ASD (Naglieri & Goldstein, 2013)

Table 8.20 Differences Between ASD and Matched General Population Samples: CEFI Full Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Self-report</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>df</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>.326</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group Differences: Learning Disabilities (Naglieri & Goldstein, 2013)

Table 8.21 Differences Between LD and Matched General Population Samples: CEFI Full Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Self-report</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>df</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>.356</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>.466</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group Differences: Mood Disorders (Naglieri & Goldstein, 2013)

Table 8.22 Differences Between Mood Disorder and Matched General Population Samples: CEFI Full Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Self-report</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>df</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>.326</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ability and Achievement

PASS Theory

• **PASS** theory is a modern way to define 'ability' based on measuring neurocognitive abilities
• **Planning** = THINKING ABOUT THINKING
• **Attention** = BEING ALERT
• **Simultaneous** = GETTING THE BIG PICTURE
• **Successive** = FOLLOWING A SEQUENCE

The Brain as PASS

PASS: A neuropsychological approach to the Brain based on three Functional Units described by A. R. Luria (1972)
PASS Theory: Planning

- **Planning** is a neurocognitive ability that a person uses to determine, select, and use efficient solutions to problems
  - problem solving
  - developing plans and using strategies
  - retrieval of knowledge
  - impulse control and self-control
  - control of processing

Knowledge and Planning Learning Curves

- Learning depends upon instruction and intelligence (PASS)
- At first, PASS plays a major role in learning
- When a new task is learned and practiced it becomes a skill and execution requires less PASS

PASS Theory

- **Attention** is a basic neurocognitive ability we use to selectively attend to some stimuli and ignores others
  - focused cognitive activity
  - selective attention
  - resistance to distraction
PASS Theory

• **Simultaneous** processing is a basic neurocognitive ability which we use to integrate stimuli into groups and solve problems
  
  • Stimuli are seen as a whole
  • Each piece must be related to the others

PASS Theory: Successive

• **Successive** processing is a basic neurocognitive ability which we use to manage stimuli in a specific serial order
  
  • Stimuli form a chain-like progression
  • Stimuli are not inter-related

Ability Profiles

**PASS Processing Scores**

![Graph showing PASS Processing Scores for ADHD, ASD, and SLD](image-url)
Organizing the Data

• A day in the life
• Ability/Knowledge/Skill
• Take a chronological perspective.
• Risk and Protective factors
• Determining eligibility
• Suggesting possible diagnoses
• Recommending needs
• Considering continuum of services
DEAR GOD,
I wish I could be
better in School.
Can you help me.

Adopt a Learning to Ride a Bicycle Mindset!

Through intelligent and ethical educational and therapeutic practices, we can foster self-discipline, mental health, resilience and build educational proficiency in all children without stealing away their dignity and hope.
ADOPT A LEARNING TO RIDE A BICYCLE MINDSET!

Questions?

www.samgoldstein.com
info@samgoldstein.com
@drsamgoldstein
@doctorsamgoldstein
TEDx: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xIsfW8LJ-eWM